1	SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FI	LOM LLP		
2	MARK R. S. FOSTER (SBN 223682) mark.foster@skadden.com			
3	525 University Avenue Palo Alto, California 94301			
4	Telephone: (650) 470-4500 Facsimile: (650) 470-4570			
5	Attorney for Defendants			
7	[Additional Counsel on Signature Page.]			
8				
9	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
10	SAN FRANCIS	SCO DIVISION		
11	GERARDO ACEVES, THOMAS FAN, DEDWIN MARTINEZ, TIFFANY SMOOT,	Case No.: 3:24-cv-02663-MMC		
12	EDOUARD CORDI, and BRETT MAGGARD,) Individually, and on Behalf of All Others			
13	Similarly Situated,	CLASS ACTION		
14	Plaintiffs,)			
15	v.) COINBASE GLOBAL, INC., COINBASE,	ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO CONSIDER WHETHER CASES		
16 17	INC., COINBASE ASSET MANAGEMENT, LLC, and BRIAN ARMSTRONG,	SHOULD BE RELATED AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RELATING CASES [Civil L.R. 3-12 and 7-11]		
18	Defendants.			
19)	Honorable Maxine M. Chesney		
20)			
21				
22				
23				
24				
2526				
27				
28	ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO CONSIDER WHETHER (CAGEG GHOLH D DE DEL ATER AND (DD ODG CTT)		
	T ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO CONSIDER WHETHER (ANEN SHOUL D BE RELATED AND PROPOSEDLO		

ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO CONSIDER WHETHER CASES SHOULD BE RELATED AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RELATING CASES

CASE No.: 3:24-cv-02663-MMC

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5
2	6

Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 3-12 and 7-11, Defendants hereby respectfully move the Court to consider whether this Matter and Carter v. Coinbase Global Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-03350-CRB (the "Carter Action") are related actions. In order of filing date, the matters submitted for determination under Civil Local Rule 3-12 include Aceves v. Coinbase Global, Inc., et al., No.: 3:24-cv-02663-MMC (filed May 3, 2024) and Carter v. Coinbase Global Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-03350-CRB (filed June 4, 2024).

"An action is related to another when: (1) The actions concern substantially the same parties, property, transaction, or event; and (2) It appears likely that there will be an unduly burdensome duplication of labor and expense or conflicting results if the cases are conducted before different Judges." Civil L. R. 3-12(a). Here, both Actions bring the same claims on behalf of the same proposed class against the same defendants. Each complaint contains substantially similar allegations. Indeed, counsel in the Carter Action identified that case as related to the Aceves Action in the civil cover sheet filed with the Carter complaint. (See Carter ECF No. 1.1.) Given that substantially similar parties, claims, and events are involved in each of the Actions, conducting these cases before different judges in this District would likely result in an unduly burdensome duplication of labor and expense for the parties involved in each action, as well as the Court, and could also create the potential for conflicting results. Accordingly, relating the Actions will serve the interests of judicial economy and avoid the potential for conflicting results, consistent with Civil Local Rule 3-12.

In furtherance of this motion, and in compliance with Civil Local Rule 7-11, plaintiffs in both Actions have stipulated that the Actions should be deemed related. See Exhibit 1. For all the foregoing reasons, Defendants respectfully request that this Court enter an order relating the Carter Action with the Aceves Action, which was the first filed in this District.

27

28

1	DATED: July 9, 2024			
2				
3	Respectfully submitted,			
4	SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOI LLP	M		
5	By: /s/ Mark R. S. Foster			
6	MARK R. S. FOSTER (SBN 223682)			
7	mark.foster@skadden.com			
/	525 University Avenue Palo Alto, California 94301			
8	Telephone: (650) 470-4500			
9	Facsimile: (650) 470-4570			
10	SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOI LLP	M		
11	ALEXANDER C. DRYLEWSKI*			
	alex.drylewski@skadden.com			
12	LARA A. FLATH*			
13	lara.flath@skadden.com One Manhattan West			
	New York, New York 10001			
14	Telephone: (212) 735-3000			
15	Facsimile: (212) 735-2000			
16	Attorneys for Defendants			
	*Admission pro hac vice application forthcoming			
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
	2			
28	ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO CONSIDER WHETHER CASES SHOULD BE RELATED AND [PROPOSED] ORDER			
	RELATING CASES			

[PROPOSED] ORDER DEEMING CASES RELATED Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 3-12, Defendants Coinbase Global, Inc., Coinbase, Inc., 3 Coinbase Asset Management, LLC, and Brian Armstrong filed an Administrative Motion to 4 consider whether Aceves v. Coinbase Global, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-02663-MMC should be 5 | related to *Carter v. Coinbase Global Inc., et al.*, No. 3:24-cv-03350-CRB. Good cause having been shown, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Carter v. Coinbase Global Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-03350-CRB is related to this action. Date: _____, 2024 HONORABLE MAXINE M. CHESNEY UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO CONSIDER WHETHER CASES SHOULD BE RELATED AND [PROPOSED] ORDER